New Efficient Substrates for Semicarbazide-Sensitive Amine Oxidase/VAP-1 Enzyme: Analysis by SARs and Computational Docking
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Structure activity relationships for semicarbazide-sensitive amine oxidase/vascular adhesion protein-1 (SSAO/VAP-1) were studied using a library of arylalkylamine substrates, with the aim of contributing to the discovery of more efficient SSAO substrates. Experimental data were contrasted with computational docking studies, thereby allowing us to examine the mechanism and substrate-binding affinity of SSAO and thus contribute to the discovery of more efficient SSAO substrates and provide a structural basis for their interactions. We also built a model of the mouse SSAO structure, which provides several structural rationales for interspecies differences in SSAO substrate selectivity and reveals new trends in SSAO substrate recognition. In this context, we identified novel efficient substrates for human SSAO that can be used as a lead for the discovery of antidiabetic agents.

Introduction

Semicarbazide-sensitive amine oxidase/vascular adhesion protein-1 (SSAO/VAP-1) is a bifunctional protein with copper-containing amine oxidase activity (EC 1.4.3.6) that converts primary amines to aldehydes, with the concomitant production of hydrogen peroxide and ammonia (Figure 1).1,2

SSAO/VAP-1 is highly expressed in adipocytes where it is localized mainly in plasma membrane in an insulin-independent manner.3,4 Substrates of SSAO/VAP-1 exert a variety of insulin-like effects in human, rat, and mouse adipose cells.4–7 Thus, substrates such as benzylamine or tyramine stimulate glucose transport in isolated human adipocytes.7 Furthermore, in isolated rat adipocytes, the combination of substrates of SSAO with low ineffective vanadate concentrations produces a potent stimulation of glucose transport, which is abolished by semicarbazide and catalase.4,5 This combination also induces insulin-sensitive glucose transporter isoform 4 (GLUT4) recruitment to the cell surface,4,5 lipogenesis8 and an inhibition of lipolysis.7 These observations indicated that the SSAO-dependent generation of hydrogen peroxide may be responsible for these effects via a chemical interaction with vanadate, which can form peroxovanadate, a powerful insulin-mimetic agent.9

More recently, in vivo studies have also demonstrated the antidiabetic properties of the combination of benzyamine or other arylalkylamines with vanadium in experimental models of type 1 and type 2 diabetes.9–11 As a beneficial effect of the administration of exogenous SSAO substrates, beyond their antidiabetic properties, we could expect a decrease in the oxidation of potential endogenous substrates, methylamine and aminoacetone, whose product of oxidation, formaldehyde, has been strongly implicated in cardiovascular pathologies associated with diabetes.12

On the basis of these observations, our research focuses on the identification of potent SSAO/VAP-1 substrates based on arylalkylamines. Benzylamine or arylalkylamine derivatives are good candidates, as shown by previous modeling studies of the catalytic domain of SSAO/VAP-1. We previously found that the aromatic residues Tyr 384, Phe 389, and Tyr 394 define a pocket of stable size (7 Å width), which may participate in the binding of apolar substrates.13 After defining the pharmacophoric moiety of SSAO substrates based on benzylamine/arylalkylamine derivatives, we designed a strategy to evaluate other substrate requirements that enhance substrate-binding affinity.

Here we studied SSAO/VAP-1 structure activity relationships (SARs) and identified several molecular features using benzylamine as a hit. Our study provides key data on the differences between human and mouse SSAO substrate selectivity and contributes to the identification of new highly potent substrates. In addition, we used computational docking and homology-based modeling to analyze the results and provide a structural basis for the interaction between the arylalkylamine substrates and SSAO.

Results and Discussion

Combinatorial Chemistry. A combinatorial approach was applied to define the structural ligand requirements for new SSAO substrates. To explore the substitution of the benzylamine aromatic ring, compounds with electron-withdrawing and electron-donating groups were evaluated as ligands of the phenyl residues near the catalytic site of the enzyme. Thus, both electronic and steric effects were studied. In this context, 48 compounds were tested, combining commercial and synthesized ones (Tables 1–4 in Supporting Information). After testing the first set, we synthesized a second generation of compounds using...
compound 12 as a starting point. This second generation, based on the modification of the amino group of \textit{m}-xylylenediamine, was also synthesized by a solid-phase approach (Table 3 in Supporting Information). The members of this new family were designed and synthesized, exploring size, hydrophobicity, and stability by new hydrogen-binding interactions in this extended position. The function of this extended group was to fill the extra apolar cavity (defined by Leu 469 and Phe 389 residues), found in previous studies, to enhance binding capacity to the SSAO active site.\textsuperscript{13,17} After screening, the compounds that showed substrate properties were selected, resynthesized, and purified to confirm the biological data and establish SARs. The selected compounds were classified in two structural families: (a) arylalkylamines, especially with \textit{para} substitution on the aromatic ring, and (b) \textit{m}-xylene derivatives.

\textbf{Synthesis of Selected Compounds.} The arylalkylamines selected were prepared from commercially available aldehydes by reductive amination. First, the hydroxylamine was condensed with aldehyde in methanol at reflux for 30 min. In all the cases, the reaction quantitatively yielded the corresponding aldoximes. The aldoxime was then catalytically reduced with PtO\textsubscript{2} \textsubscript{â}H\textsubscript{2}O and H\textsubscript{2} (4 bar) in acetic acid at room temperature. The conversion is directly proportioned to the nature of the substitu-

...
Exploration of Human and Mouse Substrate Selectivity by Docking. Slight changes of the substituents on the phenyl group of benzylamines produced a considerable decrease in the catalytic activity of the two enzymes. As expected, more substrates for mouse than for human SSAO were found (Tables 1–4 in Supporting Information). These results are in agreement with previous observations that human SSAO/VAP-1 is more restrictive in substrate selectivity than the mouse enzyme.16 This observation could be attributed to differences in the distribution of the mouse and human catalytic site residues. As previously proposed by Wilce et al., amine oxidase substrate recognition may be influenced by the amino acid composition of the channel near the junction of domains D3 and D4 of the protein, which form the entrance of the substrate from the protein surface to the active site.17 Because of their critical position within the catalytic site, Tyr 384 or Leu 468 and Leu 469 residues may affect the substrate selectivity of the enzyme.9,17–19 However, because these same residues are conserved in mouse SSAO/VAP-1, their nature cannot explain the difference in substrate selectivity observed between the two species. To perform a more detailed comparison of mouse and human SSAO active sites at the structural level, a model of mouse SSAO was built based on the structure of the homologous human SSAO protein (PDB code 1us1). Superimposition of mouse and human SSAO active sites showed that the conformation of the catalytic residues is well-conserved, as expected (Figure 2). However, the active site in mouse SSAO model was slightly larger than that in human SSAO X-ray structure. To evaluate whether this was artifactual (because we were comparing a homology-based model and an X-ray structure) and also to check the dynamic behavior of the active site, we performed molecular dynamics simulations on both structures, as described in the Experimental Section. We extracted snapshots from the simulations every 10 ps and computed the solvent-excluded volume of the active site in these conformations using Pocket, a program that identifies active sites of proteins on the basis of the Alpha Shape theory.20 A few outlier conformations for which Pocket artificially detected the active site as “fused” with other larger cavities, or

Table 1. Human and Mouse SSAO Activity in the Presence of Arylalkylamine Derivatives Synthesized as SSAO Substrates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>SSAO activity (ν rel. to benzylamine oxidation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>benzylamine</td>
<td>100 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4-fluorobenzylamine</td>
<td>134 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4-ethylbenzylamine</td>
<td>14 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4-trifluoromethyl-</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>benzylamine</td>
<td>127 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4-ethylbenzylamine</td>
<td>26 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4-trifluoromethyl-</td>
<td>35 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>benzylamine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4-butylbenzylamine</td>
<td>5 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4-fluoro-4-methyl-</td>
<td>24 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>benzylamine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3-fluoro-4-methyl-</td>
<td>133 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>benzylamine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>phenylethylamine</td>
<td>21 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>3-phenylpropylamine</td>
<td>44 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4-phenylbutylamine</td>
<td>71 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2-(4-fluorophenyl)-</td>
<td>18 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Human and mouse SSAO activity was determined by detecting the production of hydrogen peroxide in the presence of distinct compounds present at 1 mM for human and 100 μM for mouse activity measurements, as indicated in Materials and Methods. Values are means of percentages relative to benzylamine-related hydrogen production.

Exploration of Human and Mouse Substrate Selectivity by Docking. Slight changes of the substituents on the phenyl group of benzylamines produced a considerable decrease in the catalytic activity of the two enzymes. As expected, more substrates for mouse than for human SSAO were found (Tables 1–4 in Supporting Information). These results are in agreement with previous observations that human SSAO/VAP-1 is more restrictive in substrate selectivity than the mouse enzyme.16 This observation could be attributed to differences in the distribution of the mouse and human catalytic site residues. As previously proposed by Wilce et al., amine oxidase substrate recognition may be influenced by the amino acid composition of the channel near the junction of domains D3 and D4 of the protein, which form the entrance of the substrate from the protein surface to the active site.17 Because of their critical position within the catalytic site, Tyr 384 or Leu 468 and Leu 469 residues may affect the substrate selectivity of the enzyme.9,17–19 However,
“fragmented” in small cavities, were not considered for calculations. The average volumes of the SSAO active sites during simulations were similar in mouse ($V = 190.6 \, \text{Å}^3 \pm 13.4 \, \text{SD}$) and in human ($V = 185.0 \, \text{Å}^3 \pm 37.9 \, \text{SD}$). However, the larger deviation in volume values for human SSAO indicate that its active site is more flexible than that of mouse. There were also interesting differences in the residues near the active site. For instance, Phe 173 at the entrance of the active site in human SSAO is Asp 173 in mouse, which leaves more space at the entrance of the active site and introduces an attraction point for positively charged substrates. Another residue, Leu 177 in human SSAO, is Gln 177 in mouse, whose side-chain conformation makes the entrance of the active site wider. All the considerations described above might explain the differences in substrate affinity between human and mouse SSAO. Another potentially interesting difference is that human His 242 is mutated to Pro 242 in mouse, which creates a small cavity adjacent to the catalytic site. Interestingly, this proline residue is also conserved in bovine SSAO (Pro 241). The role of this cavity is unknown. Some insights will be provided by docking simulations, as discussed at the end of the section. Finally, in the amine oxidase family of enzymes, intersubunit interactions are formed by two long hairpins. One of these, the $\beta$-ribbon arm I, which stretches along the surface of the molecule to the channel entrance, may also be involved in substrate specificity because the residues at the end of the arm differ in each protein.17 For instance, Leu 447 in this loop in human SSAO is Phe 447 in mouse. This loop differs between human and bovine SSAO in only two residues (Leu 447, Tyr 448 in human vs Phe 446, Leu 447 in bovine). Despite this small difference in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>compound</th>
<th>SSAO activity (% relative to benzylamine oxidation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>human</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>38 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>21 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>17 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>17 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>16 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Human and mouse SSAO activity was determined by detecting the production of hydrogen peroxide in the presence of distinct compounds present at 1 mM for human and 100 μM for mouse activity measurements, as indicated in Materials and Methods. Values are means of percentages relative to benzylamine-related hydrogen production.
sequence, the overall loop conformation is distorted. In our model of mouse SSAO, the loop conformation was inherited mostly from the human structure; nevertheless, there was significant conformational change with respect to the human template (Figure 2). Conformational variability of this loop during molecular dynamics was very similar in human and in mouse SSAO, ranging from 0.2 to 1.3 Å RMSD in human and from 0.2 to 1.5 Å RMSD in mouse (only Cα atoms considered). However, given the sensitivity of this loop to the residue sequence, we cannot rule out that further conformational deviation in mouse with respect to human SSAO affects the active site entrance. To our knowledge, this is the first study to provide a rational explanation of the basis of structural differences to support the interspecies differences in substrate selectivity observed between mouse and human SSAO.

Figure 3. Qualitative representation of % mouse (A) and human SSAO activity (B). Values extracted from Tables 1 and 2.
Qualitative Structure Activity Relationships. All resynthesized compounds were screened again as potential substrates of mouse and human SS AO. As described above, these selected compounds can be classified in two structural families: (a) phenylalkylamines (Table 1) and (b) derivatives of m-xylene diamines (Table 2).

The phenylalkylamine active compounds present diverse substitutions in the aryl ring, especially in the para position, which appears to be crucial for the SS AO activity (Table 1). The percentage of mouse SS AO activity of the p-substituted benzyl compounds decreased with the presence of bulky para substitutions, (2) compounds with highly hydrophobic character.13,17 Interestingly, compound 34, with a chain length of four C, showed a 2-fold $V_{\text{max}}$ and, similar to fluorinated benzylamine compounds 3 and 16, a better catalytic efficiency ($k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$) than benzylamine in both species. In the case of 4-phenylbutylamine (44), the aromatic ring may be at a sufficient distance to prevent $\pi$-stacking interactions between the phenyl ring of the arylalkylamine and the SS AO active site.

We observed a significant trend between the $k_{\text{cat}}$ for the mouse and human SS AO (Figure 4). A first interpretation of this observation is that, beyond differences in substrate selectivity, mouse and human SS AO have a similar capacity to catalyze amines. Up to certain point, a good substrate for mouse SS AO has high probability of also being a good substrate for human SS AO. Similarly, a bad substrate for mouse SS AO will probably be a bad substrate for the human enzyme as well (Figure 4).

This is relevant for the design of future screenings. However, as shown by the intercept of the fitted line, a bad substrate for mouse SS AO may not be a substrate for human SS AO.22 It is interesting to note that (1) SS AO activity is sensitive to meta substitutions, (2) compounds 41 and 46 phenylethylamine compounds are equally poor substrates for mouse and human SS AO, and (3) the presence of a fluor atom in the para position and the length of the aliphatic chain greatly influence
the capacity of the compound to be oxidized by both human and mouse SSAO. Among the compounds, we identified 3, 16, and 44 as the best substrates in both species.

**Qualitative SARs and Docking.** To provide a structural basis to our observations, we performed computational docking studies using the X-ray structure of human SSAO, which is available in the PDB with code 1us1. Compounds 1, 3, 16, 32—34, 41, 42, 44, and 46 were computationally docked onto the coordinates of human SSAO. Given that Leu469 partially occluded the active site, we modeled a distinct rotamer of the leucine side-chain, which resulted in a slightly more open active site. The conformation of TPQ in the human structure (1us1) was incompatible with catalysis. Therefore, it was modeled to the active conformation (so-called “off-Cu”) using the coordinates of SSAO from *Escherichia coli* of code 1spu as template.23

The overall docking results did not depend heavily on the TPQ conformation, but the ammonium group of the ligand compounds was consistently closer to the TPQ moiety when the latter was in the “off-Cu” conformation. Figure 5 shows the lowest-energy docking conformations obtained for selected compounds. As expected, the docking orientation obtained for benzylamine (1) fitted the active site perfectly (Figure 5A), with the ammonium group close to the catalytic oxygen atom of TPQ. Regarding the docking results of 4-phenylbutylamine (44), the lowest-energy docking conformation superimposed nicely onto the benzylamine (1) docking structure (Figure 5B). The ammonium group of 44 was located in the same spatial coordinates as that in benzylamine, and the four Caliphatic chain superimposed perfectly onto the aromatic ring of benzylamine. Thus, the aromatic ring of 44 was closer to the active site entrance, without major atomic clashes with surrounding protein atoms. As expected, this interaction mode proposed by the docking calculations indicates that the aliphatic chain has favorable interactions with the active site, while the phenyl ring prevents excessive π-stacking with this site, perhaps favoring the exit of the aldehyde byproduct. Several of the docking orientations of benzylamine (1) were found in the adjacent cavity around His242; this was not observed for 44. We can hypothesize that bulkier compounds such as 44 are not trapped inside the human SSAO active site as easily as benzylamine (1), which would explain why the Vₘₐₓ of 44 is larger than that of 1 (Table 4). In contrast, the larger active site (including the mouth entrance and this adjacent cavity of unknown role) in mouse SSAO (Figure 2) might equally trap small-sized and bulkier compounds (Table 3). This hypothesis is therefore consistent with the experimental data. Compounds 3, 16, 41, 42, and 46 had similarly good docking energy values (Table 4), and their lowest-energy docking solutions were found within the active site in a similar orientation as in 1 and 44. Interestingly, the docking structure of phenylethylamine (41) deviated slightly from compounds 1 and 44, indicating that it does not fit as perfectly into the active site. This observation is consistent with the lower affinity found for 41 and for its fluoroderivative (compound 46). Regarding 42, the atoms of the phenyl ring were located between the corresponding atoms of compounds 41 and 44, but slightly closer to the latter. The distance between the center of atomic coordinates of the phenyl ring in compounds 41 and 44 is 3.21 Å, whereas the equivalent distance between 42 and 41 is 2.03 Å, and the one between 42 and 44 is 1.21 Å. This may explain why the activity found experimentally is below that of benzylamine (1). In contrast, compounds 33 and 34, which showed poor experimental kₐₙ values with human SSAO, did not yield any docking orientation within the active site where the ammonium group can be at a reasonable distance from TPQ. In addition, the binding energy of 33 and 34 docking solutions, located at the entrance of the active site but not within it, were much worse than those of the “good” substrates. Interestingly, compound 32, which has an intermediate experimental kₐₙ

---

**Figure 4.** Correlation of mouse SSAO/VAP-1 kₐₙ versus human kₐₙ SSAO/VAP-1 values extracted from Tables 3 and 4, respectively.

**Figure 5.** Computational docking results. (A) Best docking solution (lowest binding energy) calculated by CMIP for compound 1 (stick representation, color cyan), surrounded by human SSAO active site residues (represented in CPK). (B) Best docking solutions for compounds 1 (cyan), 41 (pink), 42 (blue), and 44 (yellow) on the active site of human SSAO. Figure prepared with ICM-Browser from Molsoft (www.molsoft.com).
value, had a few docking orientations within the active site, with a binding energy between that of the good substrates and the poor ones. This observation correlates with the experimental $k_{cat}$ values of these compounds. This is remarkable considering that enzymatic activity can be affected by determinants other than binding affinity of the substrates, and that our model is limited by the use of a rigid-body approach for the active-site side-chains. In fact, compounds 1, 41, and 46 had slightly larger computed binding energy values than the ones expected from the energy/$k_{cat}$ relation for the similar compounds 3, 42, and 44. Indeed, the side-chain of Leu469 was very close to the aromatic ring of these compounds, so the conformation of this residue might affect compounds 1, 41, and 46 in a different way than to the others. Although this is out of the scope of this work, we are planning a systematic study in which protein flexibility will be analyzed.

Activation of Glucose Transport in Isolated Mouse Adipocytes. The incubation of isolated rat or mouse adipocytes in the presence of SSAO substrates, such as benzylamine and vanadate, stimulates glucose transport. Here we analyzed whether one of our best novel SSAO substrates (44) exerted such an insulin-mimetic effect. The incubation of isolated mouse adipocytes with 0.1 or 1 mM of compound 44 plus vanadate produced a strong stimulation of glucose transport (3.3-fold and 3.4-fold, respectively), similar to that obtained with insulin or benzylamine plus vanadate (Figure 6). As for benzylamine, this effect was completely inhibited by semicarbazide. The incubation of 1 mM of compound 44 without vanadate did not significantly affect glucose transport (1.1-fold).

Conclusions

Here we derived SARs for SSAO/VAP-1 with 20 arylalkylamine analogues selected from a library of 48 compounds. The chemical nature of the substitution in the aromatic ring and the length of the alkyl chain modulates their activity versus SSAO/VAP-1. This finding introduces a new concept in SSAO substrate design that could be useful in subsequent studies.

More importantly, three novel SSAO substrates, namely, 4-fluorobenzylamine (3), 3-fluoro-4-methyl-benzylamine (16), and 4-phenylbutyramine (44), were identified. These compounds presented high affinity for mouse and human SSAO, and their $V_{max}$ values were even higher for the latter. Results from docking calculations were consistent with these experimental values. Lowest-energy binding modes fitted nicely within the active site and had the ammonium group near the TPQ residue.

Compound 44 is, to our knowledge, the best substrate described to date for human SSAO. Interestingly, this compound is structurally related to the side chain of lysine, an endogenous amino acid that interacts with the human catalytic site of SSAO/VAP-1. We also show that compound 44 strongly stimulated glucose transport in isolated mouse adipocytes.

Experimental Section

Materials and Methods. DIPCDI was obtained from Fluka Chemika (Buchs, Switzerland) and HOBr from Albatross Chem, Inc. (Montreal, Canada). 3-(Boc-aminomethyl)-benzylamine hydrochloride was purchased from Neosystem (Strasbourg, France). Semicarbazide hydrochloride, benzylamine hydrochloride, hydrogen peroxide, sodium orthovanadate horseradish peroxidase, and other chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.). Purified human VAP-1 was a kind gift from BioTie Therapeutics (Turku, Finland). Amplex red reagent (10-acetyl-3,7-dihydroxyphenoxazine) was from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR, U.S.A.). 2-Deoxy-D-[2,6-3H]glucose (53 Ci/mmol) was purchased from Amersham Pharmacia Biotech (Buckinghamshire, U.K.). Purified porcine insulin was a kind gift from Eli Lilly (Indianapolis, IN). Collagenase type I was obtained from Worthington (Lakewood, NJ). Other chemicals were obtained from Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI, U.S.A.), with the highest purity grade available. All commercial reagents and solvents were used as received. The $^{1}H/^{13}C$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury 400-MHz spectrometer. HPLC analysis was performed using an Alliance 2795 Waters Chromatography system with a reverse-phase column C18 X-Terra 3.5 $\mu$m 2.1 × 50 mm column with Waters 996 PDA detector. HPLC-MS spectra were recorded on a Waters Alliance HT 2795 system with dual $\lambda$ absorbance detector 2487 and Micromass ZQ mass spectrometer. The purity of the arylalkylamines synthesized was determined by HPLC using two gradients, see Supporting Information for HPLC purity data table and spectroscopy data ($^{1}H/^{13}C$ NMR) of selected compounds resynthesized.

Synthesis of Aldoxime Derivatives. The corresponding aldehyde (300 mg) was dissolved in methanol (5 mL). After that, a solution of hydroxylamine in water at 50% (4 mmol) was added, and the mixture was refluxed for a period of 30 min. The solvent was then evaporated, and the resulting solid was dissolved in ethyl acetate (100 mL) and washed with HCl 1 N (2 × 100 mL), saturated NaHCO3 (2 × 100 mL) solution, and brine (2 × 100 mL). The organic phase was dried with MgSO4 and concentrated at reduced pressure to give the corresponding aldoxime. The compounds were analyzed by HPLC-MS.

Synthesis of the Arylalkylamine Derivatives. Method A. The corresponding aldoxime (300 mg) and PtO2•H2O (0.022 mmol) were dissolved in acetic acid (10 mL). The mixture was pressurized with H2 to 4 bar and reacted for 8 h at room temperature. The
crude was then filtered through Celite, the acetic acid was removed at low pressure, and the crude product was dissolved with HCl 1 N (300 mL). The aqueous phase was washed with ethyl acetate (3 × 100 mL), and the organic layer was discarded. The aqueous phase was then basified with a solution of NaOH 1 M and washed with ethyl acetate (3 × 100 mL). The organic phase was dried with MgSO₄ and concentrated at reduced pressure to give the corresponding amine. Afterward all the compounds were treated with 2 mL of HCl/dioxane 4.0 M in 10 mL of ethyl acetate for 2 h at room temperature. The final hydrochloride salts were filtered and dried.

Method B. The corresponding aldoxime (300 mg) was dissolved with 5 mL of LiBH₄ 4.0 M in dry THF and refluxed for 8 h. Then 100 mL of ethyl acetate and 100 mL of HCl 6 N were added to the crude product. The aqueous phase was washed with ethyl acetate (3 × 100 mL), and the organic layer was discarded. The aqueous phase was then basified with a solution of NaOH 1 M and washed with ethyl acetate (3 × 100 mL). The organic phase was dried with MgSO₄ and concentrated at reduced pressure to give the corresponding amine. Afterward all the compounds were treated with 2 mL of HCl/dioxane 4.0 M in 10 mL of ethyl acetate for 2 h at room temperature. The final hydrochloride salts were filtered and dried.

13C NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz): 40.7, 115.5, 130.4, 132.7, 161.0, 163.0. ES + [M+H] +.
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6.1 Hz, 2.3 Hz, 1.1 equiv) were then added. DIEA (204 µL, 1.1 equiv) was then added. DIPCDI (1 equiv) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. After that, the DMF was removed at low pressure. All the compounds were purified by silica chromatography and eluted with a gradient of ethyl acetate/hexane. Afterward, all the compounds were treated with 2 mL of HCl/dioxane 4.0 M in 10 mL of ethyl acetate for 2 h at room temperature. The final hydrochloride salts were filtered and dried.

N-(3-Aminomethyl)benzylic amine Hydrochloride Salt (31). A white solid was obtained (yield = 86%) with 100% purity. 1H NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz): 1.14 (t, 2H, J = 7.6 Hz), 2.72 (q, 2H, J = 8.2 Hz). 13C NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz): 14.8, 28.3, 42.9, 128.4, 129.2, 133.4, 139.6, 168.1. ES + (m/z) calcd for C₂H₄N₂O (M + H) +, 241.1335; found, 241.1335.

N-(3-Aminomethyl)benzamide Hydrochloride Salt (32). A white solid was obtained (yield = 81%) with 99.97% purity. 1H NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz): 4.10 (s, 2H), 4.44 (s, 2H), 7.39 (m, 4H). 13C NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz): 41.9, 42.9, 43.0, 127.6, 128.0, 128.9, 133.4, 139.6, 168.1. ES + (m/z) calcd for C₃H₂N₂O (M + H) +, 257.1285; found, 257.1280.

N-(3-Aminomethyl)benzyl-4-hydroxybenzamide Hydrochloride Salt (33). A white solid was obtained (yield = 83%) with 98% purity. 1H NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz): 4.09 (s, 2H), 4.56 (s, 2H), 6.83 (d, 2H, J = 8.7 Hz), 7.33 (m, 1H), 7.41 (m, 1H), 7.43 (s, 1H), 7.52 (d, 2H, J = 8.7 Hz). 13C NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz): 42.9, 43.1, 114.9, 124.9, 127.4, 127.9, 128.1, 129.1, 132.9, 133.3, 140.6, 160.1, 168.8. ES + (m/z) calcd for C₃H₂N₂O (M + H) +, 319.0441; found, 319.0441.

N-(3-Aminomethyl)benzyl-4-bromobenzamide Hydrochloride Salt (39). A white solid was obtained (yield = 80%) with 100% purity. 1H NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz): 4.103 (s, 2H), 4.58 (s, 2H), 7.35 (m, 1H), 7.414 (m, 2H), 7.453 (m, 1H), 7.78 (d, J = 8.68 Hz, 2H), 7.64 (d, J = 8.68 Hz, 2H). 13C NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz): 43.1, 43.2, 126.0, 127.5, 128.0, 128.2, 129.0, 131.6, 133.2, 133.4, 140.2, 167.8. ES + (m/z) calcd for C₃H₂N₂O (M + H) +, 319.0441; found, 319.0441.

N-(3-Aminomethyl)benzyl-4-(aminomethyl)benzamide (40). A white solid was obtained (yield = 82%) with 100% purity. 1H NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz): 4.11 (s, 2H), 4.19 (s, 2H), 4.60 (s, 2H), 7.36 (m, 1H), 7.42 (m, 1H), 7.49 (s, 1H), 7.96 (d, J = 8.39 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (d, J = 8.27 Hz, 1H). 13C NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz): 42.6, 43.0, 43.1, 127.6, 128.0, 128.1, 128.9, 129.2, 133.4, 134.8, 136.8, 140.2, 168.0. ES + (m/z) calcd for C₃H₂N₂O (M + H) +, 270.1601; found, 270.1596.

N-(3-Aminomethyl)benzyl-Phe(Ac) Hydrochloride Salt (35). A mixture of 3-(3-Aminomethyl)benzylamine hydrochloride (300 mg, 1 equiv) was dissolved in DMF (100 mL) and HOBt (149 mg, 1 equiv). Fmoc-phenylglycine carboxylic acid (140 mg, 1 equiv) and DIPCDI (162 µL, 1 equiv) were then added. DIEA (204 µL, 1 equiv) was then added. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. Afterward, all the compounds were treated with 2 mL of HCl/dioxane 4.0 M in 10 mL of ethyl acetate for 2 h at room temperature. The final hydrochloride salts were filtered and dried.
(3 × 100 mL). The organic layers were pooled, washed with brine (3 × 100 mL), and dried with MgSO₄, and the solvent was removed at low pressure. N-(3-(Aminomethyl)benzyl)-Phg(Fmoc) was dissolved in DCM (10 mL). Piperidine 20% in DMF (2 mL) was then added, and the mixture was stirred for 4 h at room temperature. The crude product was then washed with diethyl ether (3 × 100 mL) to remove the dibenzofulvene. N-(3-(Aminomethyl)benzyl)-PhgNH₂ was dissolved in DCM (100 mL) and acetylated with Ac₂O/DIEA (1:2) for 4 h at room temperature to yield the final product. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography with AcOEt/hexane (8:2, v/v). Afterward, the compound was treated with 2 mL of HCl/dioxane 4.0 M in 10 mL of ethyl acetate for 4 h at room temperature. The final hydrochloride salt was filtered and dried. A white solid was obtained (yield = 70%) with 100% purity. H NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz): 2.0 (s, 3H), 4.06 (s, 2H), 7.25 (m, 1H), 7.34 (m, 6H), 7.44 (m, 2H), 8.78 (s, NH). 13C NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz): 43.1, 43.9, 47.6, 59.3 (s, CH₃), 7.60 (s, NH). ES-MS (m/z): calcd for C₂₇H₂₄N₂O (M⁻ + H)⁺, 321.1707; found, 321.1703.

N-(3-(Aminomethyl)benzyl)allylcarbamate Hydrochloride Salt (33). 3-(Boc-Aminomethyl)-benzylamine hydrochloride (300 mg, 1 equiv) was dissolved in DCM. DIEA (932 μL, 1 equiv) and allyl chloroformate (88 μL, 1 equiv) were then added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h at room temperature. Afterward, the solvent was evaporated, and the crude product was dissolved in ethyl acetate (300 mL), washed with H₂O (2 × 100 mL) and brine (2 × 100 mL), and dried with MgSO₄. The product was dried at low pressure and analyzed by HPLC-MS. The compound was then treated with 2 mL of HCl/dioxane 4.0 M in 10 mL of ethyl acetate for 2 h at room temperature. The final hydrochloride salt was filtered and dried. A white solid was obtained (yield = 92%) with 99% purity. H NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz): 4.10 (s, 2H), 4.31 (s, 2H), 4.54 (d, 2H, J = 5.4 Hz), 5.24 (dd, 2H, J = 13.9 Hz, J = 47.6 Hz), 5.93 (dd, 1H, J = 5.4 Hz, J = 10.6 Hz, J = 22.1 Hz), 7.38 (m, 4H), 7.60 (s, NH). 13C NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz): 43.1, 43.9, 65.3, 116.3, 127.5, 127.7, 127.8, 128.6, 129.0, 133.3, 133.4, 140.7, 157.6. ES⁺ (m/z): calcd for C₁₅H₁₉N₂O₂S (M⁺ + H)⁺, 332.1704; found, 332.1703.

(3-(Tosylamino)phenyl)phenylmethanaminium Hydrochloride Salt (36). An amount of p-toluenesulfonyl chloride (208 mg, 1.0 equiv) and 3-(Boc-Aminomethyl)-benzylamine hydrochloride (300 mg, 1.0 equiv) were dissolved in 20 mL of ice-cooled acetone and stirred for 10 min. Afterward, K₂CO₃ (379 mg, 2.5 equiv) dissolved in DMF (2 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 4 h at room temperature. The solvent was then evaporated, and the crude product was dissolved in ethyl acetate (300 mL). The organic layer was washed with water (3 × 100 mL), and brine (3 × 100 mL), and dried with MgSO₄, and the solvent was then removed. The product was dried at low pressure and analyzed by HPLC-MS. Afterward, the Boc derivative was treated with 2 mL of HCl/dioxane 4.0 M in 10 mL of ethyl acetate for 2 h at room temperature. The final hydrochloride salt was filtered and dried. A pale yellow solid was obtained (yield = 86%) with 99% purity. H NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz): 2.41 (s, 3H), 4.05 (s, 2H), 4.06 (s, 2H), 5.48 (s, SO₂NH), 7.28 (m, 1H), 7.33 (m, 3H), 7.36 (d, 2H, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.73 (d, 2H, J = 8.2 Hz). 13C NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz): 20.3, 43.0, 46.3, 126.9, 127.8, 128.2, 128.4, 129.1, 129.5, 133.3, 137.7, 138.9, 143.5. ES⁺ (m/z): calcd for C₁₅H₁₅N₂O₄S (M⁺ + H)⁺, 291.1162; found, 291.1161.

Preparation of Mouse Adipose Tissue Membranes. Internal adipose tissue was obtained from Swiss mice weighing between 20 and 25 g. The tissue was cut and homogenized in HES buffer (25 mMol/L HEPES, 2 mMol/L EDTA, 255 mMol/L sucrose) with antiproteases (1 μmol/L pepstatin, 1 μmol/L leupeptin, 0.14 trypsin inhibitor units per mL aprotinin and 1 mMol/L PMSF). Lysates were then centrifuged at 5000 × g for 4 °C for 15 min to remove the fat cake and nonhomogenized material, and supernatants were collected and centrifuged at 200 000 × g for 2 h at 4 °C. Pelleted membranes were resuspended in 30 mMol/L HEPES and stored at −80 °C until use. Protein concentrations were measured by the Bradford method with γ-globulin as standard.

Fluorimetric Detection of SSAO-Mediated H₂O₂ Formation. The SSAO activity of human recombinant VAP-1 (0.1 μg protein assay) and mouse adipose tissue membranes (1 μg protein/assay) was measured using Amplex Red reagent, a highly sensitive and stable probe for H₂O₂. The reaction was performed in 200 μL of 0.2 mol/L phosphate buffer at pH 7.4 for 50 min at 37 °C in black nonphosphorescent microplates (Nunc). Catalytic reaction was initiated by the addition of the amines indicated as putative SSAO substrates and a H₂O₂-detecting mixture containing horseradish peroxidase and Amplex Red, as previously described. Fluorescence intensity was measured (excitation, 545 nm; emission, 590 nm; Bio-Tek fluorescence plate reader), and H₂O₂ concentration was calculated from standard H₂O₂ curves generated by serial dilutions of standard H₂O₂. Fluorescence readings were performed every 5 min. SSAO-independent H₂O₂ production was measured by preincubating adipocyte membranes or human SSAO protein with 250 μM semicarbazide for 20 min to totally inhibit SSAO activity, and fluorescence values were subtracted from the total amount of H₂O₂ formed. The kinetic parameters (Kₘ and Vₘₐₓ) were calculated using appropriate nonlinear curve-fitting formula following the Michaelis– Menten equation and using GraphPad Prism 4.0 software.

Glucose Transport Measurements in Isolated Mouse Adipocytes. Adipocytes were isolated from internal fat pads of healthy male Swiss mice (30–35 g) by digestion in KRBHA containing 0.66 mg collagenase/mL. After a preincubation period of 45 min at 37 °C, each vial, which contained 200 μL of cell suspension in KRBHA and the drugs being tested, received an isotopic dilution of 2-deoxy-[³H]glucose (2-DG), giving a final concentration of 0.1 mM, equivalent to approximately 1 300 000 dpm/vial. 2-DG transport assays were performed as reported. The values of basal transport represented 0.33 ± 0.03 nmol 2-DG/mg lipid/10 min.

Molecular Modeling and Docking. Homology modeling of the biological dimer of mouse SSAO was performed on the basis of the crystallographic structure of homologous human SSAO (83.8% sequence identity), whose coordinates are deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) with code 1usu.25–27 Given the high sequence identity between mouse and human SSAO, no other template was used. We followed the standard protocol in Modeler. Fifteen side chains were automatically placed by the default procedure, followed by a standard minimization in Modeler, with no additional restraints applied to the active site or to any other part of the structure. The five lowest-scoring conformations were automatically selected according to the Modeler objective function. From these five conformations, as our final model, we selected the one that yielded the best values of PROCHECK test. This model was subjected to molecular dynamics simulations using NAMD with ff99 parameterization. Molecular dynamics of the mouse SSAO model built was performed with a protocol comprising an initial 10 000-step conjugate gradient minimization in full explicit solvent, followed by 100 ps of equilibration (gradually reducing positional restraints on protein atoms, with no restraints on explicit solvent atoms) and finally 200 ps of free molecular dynamics in full explicit solvent at constant temperature (T = 300 K). Protein was placed in a truncated octahedron box filled by water molecules, and all atoms (protein and solvent) were free to move during the molecular dynamics step. The complete protocol took around 50 h in 16 CPUs (Intel Xeon 2.80 MHz). The model was stable throughout both the equilibration and the molecular dynamics phases, in which atomic coordinates remained mostly within 1.6 Å of RMSD from the initial coordinates. The same protocol was applied to the X-ray structure of human SSAO, yielding similarly stable dynamics. Selected molecules for docking (1, 34, 41, 42, 44) were minimized in the MM3 force field using TINKER. Conformational sampling of these molecules was performed by molecular dynamics in the MM3 force field, as implemented in TINKER, a molecular modeling package previously developed in our laboratory. For each of these conformations, CMPIF performed an exhaustive rotational and
translated search in a series of steps for which the binding energy is computed using binding potentials precalculated on a 3D grid (0.5 Å grid step) within a box of size of 30 × 28 × 24 Å³ covering the full SSAO active site. These binding energy potentials accounted for the Lennard–Jones van der Waals interactions and the Poisson–Boltzmann electrostatics, with f99 parameterization. In addition to the one in the X-ray structure (PDB code 1us1), several conformational states of SSAO were considered, for which a number of models were generated as follows. Two conformations of the TPQ residue were considered: on-copper inactive conformation (the one in the X-ray structure of human SSAO) and off-copper active conformation (with coordinates taken from bovine SSAO with modified residue mimicking benzylamine covalently attached to the TPQ). To roughly mimic the flexibility of the binding pocket, an alternative side-chain rotamer was considered for the Leu469 residue, in addition to that in the X-ray structure. The TPQ residue was parameterized using LEAP from Amber, with atomic charges calculated by Gaussian 03 (www.Gaussian.com). Binding energy potentials were calculated by CMIP on a 3D grid around the SSAO active site residues. Given that the protein coordinates were fixed during each docking simulation, the van der Waals radii of protein and substrate atoms were scaled by a factor of 0.8, to avoid strong clashes derived from the suboptimal geometries of the docked orientations.
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